Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 09/26/2018 P.C. #2

 From:
 Webb, Carol

 To:
 Brown, Don

Subject: FW: PCB 2012-035, People of the State of Illinois v. Six M. Corporation, Thomas Maxwell and James McIlvain

Date: Wednesday, September 26, 2018 3:08:23 PM

Please docket in COOL

<pdshaw1law@gmail.com>

From: Phil Van Ness <pvanness@webberthies.com>

Sent: Tuesday, September 25, 2018 10:24 AM

To: Mims, Barbara <Barbara.Mims@illinois.gov>; Davis, Kyle <Kyle.Davis@Illinois.gov>; 'cgrant@atg.state.il.us' <cgrant@atg.state.il.us>; edubats@atg.state.il.us; 'pdshaw1law@gmail.com'

Cc: Webb, Carol <Carol.Webb@illinois.gov>; James McIlvain (mcilvains2@frontier.com) <mcilvains2@frontier.com>

Subject: [External] RE: PCB 2012-035, People of the State of Illinois v. Six M. Corporation, Thomas Maxwell and James McIlvain

ALL:

At our last conference call yesterday, it was mentioned that the defendant's consultant wanted to know which groundwater monitoring [GWM] wells were still in place on the McIlvain property [that request was embodied in an email accompanied by old site diagrams showing the locations where several GWM wells had been installed some years ago]. Since Mr. McIlvain was not able to participate in the call-in for personal reasons, I indicated that I believed at least one such GWM well was still in place but I was waiting to hear from Mr. McIlvain. I had previously provided a copy of the email request to Mr. McIlvain.

FYI, I received a response from Mr. McIlvain yesterday evening, the relevant portion of which is provided below:

" ALL mw's previously installed on our property are still available and should of been sampled, quarterly, years ago per IEPA's requirements."

I cannot say, of course, whether these GWM wells are still usable [and neither can Mr. McIlvain] after all these years of non-use, but I infer from his remarks that they were not removed or plugged and may still be available for use. I also cannot say that any or all of these extant GWM wells, which were installed in response to the 1996 LUST incident [LUST Incident #96-0810], are so positioned geographically or hydrogeologically as to be relevant to the 2006 LUST incident [LUST Incident #2006-0291], but it would seem to this layman that at least some of them might be. Insofar as the new lab results apparently now confirm that groundwater contamination resulting from the 2006 LUST incident persists at levels above TACO standards, it makes sense and saves money to use existing wells where feasible and appropriate. I remind all that access to these existing GWM wells for purposes of responding to LUST Incident #96-0810 has never been withheld and continues to this day.

Phillip R. Van Ness Webber & Thies, P.C. 202 Lincoln Square

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 09/26/2018 P.C. #2

PO Box 189 Urbana, IL 61803-0189

Tel: 217/367-1126 Fax: 217/367-3752

The information contained in this message is privileged and/or confidential and is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited.

If you have received this communication in error, please delete immediately.

State of Illinois - CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged or attorney work product, may constitute inside information or internal deliberative staff communication, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by return e-mail and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. Receipt by an unintended recipient does not waive attorney-client privilege, attorney work product privilege, or any other exemption from disclosure.